(47)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1336 /2006 Single Bench

PETITIONER : Virendra Kumar Singh Son of Shri Ramsharan Singh, aged about 23 years, Resident of Village Hariharpur, Tahsil Surajpur, Block Premnagar, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. (37a)/06 Presented by Shri V.K. Pandey dated 10/3/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Collector, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

3. The Deputy Director, Panchayat and Social Welfare, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

5. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Premnagar, District Surguja (C.G.).

6. Gram Panchayat, Hariharpur, Through: Sarpanch, Hariharpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY 10.3.06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(2) (5)

7. Jagdish Singh Son of Shri Jirjodhan Singh, aged about 25 years, Resident of Village Rameshwarnagar, Tahsil Premnagar, District Surguja (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND/OR A SUITABLE DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

(28)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1336 of 2006

Petitioner : Virendra Kumar Singh

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Government Advocate for the respondents No. 1, 2 and 3/State.

ORAL ORDER

(27th March, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner along with the respondent No. 7 applied for appointment on the post of the Panchayat Karmi in the Gram Panchayat, Hariharpur. The respondent No. 7 was appointed without following due process of law on the ground that the respondent No. 7 happens to be close relative of the respondent No. 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner be granted permission to make a representation before the competent authorities with a direction that the said representation be considered and decided, in accordance with law, within the stipulated time, to which learned counsel for the respondents No. 1, 2 and 3 have no objection.

3. Accordingly, the petitioner is permitted to make a representation within a period of two weeks. On representation being filed, the competent authorities are directed to consider and decide the said representation, in accordance with law, within a period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of the same.

4. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge